

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Exact (2+1)-dimensional solutions for two discrete velocity Boltzmann models with four independent densities

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1987 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 20 L1063 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/20/16/005)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 10:31

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Exact (2+1)-dimensional solutions for two discrete velocity Boltzmann models with four independent densities

Henri Cornille

Service de Physique Théorique, CEN-Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, Cedex, France

tial variables, relaxing towards non-uniform Maxwellian equilibrium states with two exponential variables. These are also solutions of another model with four independent densities and eight velocities oriented towards the eight corners of a cube. The positivity problem for the densities is non-trivial.

There is a continuous interest in the study of the discrete Boltzmann models, where the velocities can only take the discrete values v_i , $|v_i| = 1$, because people hope to find useful results for both kinetic theory and fluid mechanics. Since the popular Broadwell [1] model many others have been proposed [2]. To each velocity v_i is associated a density N_i and for the N_i with two coordinates x, y we must consider models with velocities in a plane or in a three-dimensional space.

The simplest solutions in one, two or three coordinate variables are the similarity shock waves. These are rational solutions with one exponential variable. It has recently been understood [3] that the (1+1)-dimensional (space x, time t) solutions are simply the sums of two such similarity waves and four classes of solutions were found: (i) (1+1)-dimensional shock waves [3], (ii) periodic solutions in space [3] propagating when the time is growing, (iii) periodic non-propagating solutions [3-6], (iv) densities N_i not relaxing towards constant Maxwellians [7].

For the (2+1)-dimensional solutions (space x, y, time t), although solutions of type (i) and (iv) have been obtained, only for class (iv) has the positivity problem of the N_i been entirely overcome. We present such positive solutions here.

We consider the square [2, 8] velocity model, sometimes attributed to Maxwell, with v_1 , v_3 along the positive x and y axis, $v_1 + v_2 = v_3 + v_4 = 0$, leading to the equations:

$$N_{1t} + N_{1x} = N_{2t} - N_{2x} = -N_{3t} - N_{3y} = -N_{4t} + N_{4y}$$

= $aN_3N_4 - N_1N_2$ $a > 0.$ (1)

Equivalent equations are valid for a cubic [2] model with eight velocities oriented towards the eight corners of a cube and with four independent $N_i(N_6 = N_1, N_5 = N_2, N_8 = N_3, N_7 = N_4)$

$$N_{1t} + N_{1y} + N_{1x} = N_{2t} - N_{2y} - N_{2x} = -N_{3t} - N_{3y} + N_{3x}$$
$$= -N_{4t} + N_{4y} - N_{4x} = aN_3N_4 - N_1N_2$$
(1')

which are reduced to (1) with the change x + y = 2X, y - x = 2Y.

0305-4470/87/161063 + 05\$02.50 © 1987 IOP Publishing Ltd L1063

For (1) the total mass $M = \sum N_i$ (i = 1, ..., 8 for (1')). Both mass and momentum conservation laws hold. For instance $M_i + \partial_x J_{(x)} + \partial_y J_{(y)} = 0$ with momentum components $J_{(x)} = N_1 - N_2$, $J_{(y)} = N_3 - N_4$. For a > 0 but $a \neq 1$, microreversibility is violated. Introducing [8] the relative entropy $H = \sum N_i \log(N_i/\alpha_i)$, $\alpha_i > 0$, $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 = a \alpha_3 \alpha_4$ we find from (1) as usual $H_i + \partial_x \dots + \partial_y \dots \le 0$. The similarity shock waves are

$$N_i = n_{0i} + n_i D^{-1}$$
 $D = 1 + d \exp(\gamma x + \tau y + \rho t)$ (2)

 n_{0i} , n_i , d, ρ , γ , τ being constants. The (2+1)-dimensional solutions are simply the superposition of such solutions:

$$N_i = n_{0i} + \sum_j n_{ji} D_j^{-1} \qquad D_j = 1 + d_j \exp(\gamma_j x + \tau_j y + \rho_j t)$$
(3)

with j = 1, 2, 3 if the components are real while j = 1, 2, 3, 4 if the components are two by two complex conjugate and build periodic solutions.

We substitute (3) into (1) and write that the coefficients of the D_j^{-1} , D_j^{-2} , constant, $(D_m D_p)^{-1}$, $m \neq p$, terms are zero:

$$n_{j1}(\rho_{j} + \gamma_{j}) = n_{j2}(\rho_{j} - \gamma_{j}) = -n_{j3}(\rho_{j} + \tau_{j}) = n_{j4}(\tau_{j} - \rho_{j}) = an_{j3}n_{j4} - n_{j1}n_{j2}$$

$$= -a(n_{03}n_{j4} + n_{04}n_{j3}) + n_{01}n_{j2} + n_{02}n_{j1}$$

$$n_{01}n_{02} = an_{03}n_{04} \qquad a(n_{p3}n_{m4} + n_{p4}n_{m3}) = n_{p1}n_{m2} + n_{p2}n_{m1} \qquad p \neq m.$$
(4)

There exist 32 parameters and 31 relations for the periodic solutions j = 1, ..., 4. For the sum of three *j*th components, the number of parameters and relations is 25 and 19. In this last case, with at most six arbitrary parameters, we have numerically solved the system (4). Only for one subclass (non-uniform Maxwellians) have we entirely overcome the positivity problem for the N_i . This problem becomes simpler because one *j*th component, only *t* dependent, does not enter into the discussion. The positivity problem is reduced to a positive superposition of two components.

Subsequently we limit the study to $N_i(x, y, t)$ with non-uniform Maxwellians $N_i^M(x, y)$. In (3) the two first components are t independent ($\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 0$) while the third one is t dependent ($\gamma_3 = \tau_3 = 0$). From (4) we find $n_{j1} + n_{j2} = n_{j3} + n_{j4} = 0$, j = 1, 2; $n_{31} = n_{32} = -n_{33} = -n_{34}$ and in (3):

$$N_{1}^{M} = n_{01} + n_{11}D_{1}^{-1} + n_{21}D_{2}^{-1} \qquad N_{3}^{M} = n_{03} + n_{13}D_{1}^{-1} + n_{23}D_{2}^{-1}$$

$$N_{i}^{M} + N_{i+1}^{M} = n_{0i} + n_{0i+1} \qquad i = 1, 3 \qquad D_{j} = 1 + \exp(\gamma_{j}x + \tau_{j}y)$$

$$N_{1} - N_{1}^{M} = N_{2} - N_{2}^{M} = pD^{-1} \qquad N_{3} - N_{3}^{M} = N_{4} - N_{4}^{M} = -pD^{-1}$$

$$D = 1 + d \exp(\rho t). \qquad (5)$$

For the third *t*-dependent component (in (5) we have put $\rho_3 = \rho$, $n_{31} = p$), the remaining constraints (4) on the parameters being

$$\rho = p(a-1) = an_{43}^{+} + n_{21}^{+} \qquad n_{ij}^{\pm} = n_{0i} \pm n_{0j} \qquad a \neq 1$$
(6)

 ρ and p can be deduced once the n_{0i} are known. For $N_i^M > 0$, we necessarily have $n_{0i} > 0$ and in (6), $\rho > 0$. Consequently $\lim pD^{-1} = 0$ when $t \to \infty$; choosing d > 0 large, $pD^{-1} < p(1+d)^{-1}$ can be arbitrarily small for $t \ge 0$ and for the study of $N_i > 0$ we can restrict to $N_i^M > 0$. Here $a \ne 1$ while a = 1 will be allowed for the N_i^M . We notice that this time-dependent component does not give supplementary constraints on the N_i^M parameters (a property not true in general [3, 7]).

In the following, we determine the twelve n_{0i} , n_{j1} , n_{j3} , γ_j , τ_j , j = 1, 2, of the non-uniform Maxwellians and find sufficient conditions for the positivity. The results being analytical, the reader can check that positive (2+1)-dimensional solutions exist. There remains in (4) eight independent relations:

$$-\tau_j = \gamma_j n_{j1} / n_{j3} = -an_{43} + n_{j1}n_{21} / n_{j3} = -an_{j3} + n_{j1}^2 / n_{j3} \qquad j = 1, 2$$
(7)

$$an_{13}n_{23} = n_{11}n_{21}$$
 $an_{03}n_{04} = n_{01}n_{02}.$ (8)

From the n_{j1} , n_{j3} we can deduce the γ_j , τ_j . Furthermore, taking into account the condition $\gamma_1 \tau_2 \neq \gamma_2 \tau_1$ ($\gamma_j x + \tau_j y$ must span a two-dimensional space) into (7), then the quadratic relations between n_{ji} , n_{0i} become linear:

$$n_{1i} + n_{2i} + n_{0i} = n_{0i+1}$$
 $i = 1, 3.$ (9)

Finally, putting aside γ_j , τ_j , we have eight parameters and four relations (8) and (9), leaving four arbitrary parameters chosen to be $(n_{01}, n_{02}, n_{03}, n_{11})$ and we must find the four others $(n_{04}, n_{21}, n_{13}, n_{23})$ so that $N_i^M > 0$. The algebraic determination is simple: (8) and (9) give n_{04} , n_{21} and both the sum and the product of n_{13} , n_{23} lead to two possible determinations for n_{13} , n_{23} :

$$2z^{\pm} = n_{04} - n_{03} \pm \sqrt{\Delta} \qquad \Delta = (n_{04} - n_{03})^2 - 4n_{11}n_{21}/a \qquad n_{13} = z^{\pm} \qquad n_{23} = z^{\pm}.$$
(10)

The non-trivial problem is the positivity one. Taking into account $D_j^{-1} \ge 1$ in (5), sixteen constraints are sufficient for $N_i^M > 0$:

$$n_{0i} > 0$$
 $n_{0i} + \sum_{j=1,2} n_{ji} > 0$ $n_{0i} + n_{ji} > 0$ $i = 1, ..., 4; j = 1, 2.$ (11)

Our assumptions on the arbitrary parameters, sufficient for (11), are

$$n_{0i} > 0$$
 $i = 1, 2, 3$ (12a)

$$0 < n_{11} < n_{02} < n_{01}. \tag{12b}$$

From (8)-(12*a*), $n_{04} > 0$ and the first four (11) conditions are satisfied. Recalling $n_{j1} + n_{j2} = n_{j3} + n_{j4} = 0$, the four following ones in (11) are consequences of (9). It remains for the last eight ones in (11) to be rewritten:

$$-n_{0i} < n_{ji} < n_{0i+1} \qquad j = 1, 2; \quad i = 1, 3.$$
(11)

The inequalities (11') for n_{11} is a consequence of the assumption (12*a*), while from (9) the n_{21} ones are then deduced. We rewrite (10):

$$n_{04} - z^{\pm} = n_{03} + z^{\mp} = (n_{03} + n_{04} \mp \sqrt{\Delta})/2.$$
(10')

Then all the last (11') inequalities for n_{13} , n_{23} are satisfied if the last term in (10') is positive or $\sqrt{\Delta} < n_{03} + n_{04}$. For this ultimate result ensuring $N_i^M > 0$, we first notice that, due to (9)-(12b), $n_{21} < 0$ or $\Delta > 0$ and real z exist in (10). Second we establish a set of inequalities: $-n_{11}n_{21} = n_{11}(n_{11} - n_{02} + n_{01}) < n_{11}n_{01} < n_{02}n_{01} \rightarrow -n_{21}n_{11}/a < n_{03}n_{04} \rightarrow \Delta < (n_{03} + n_{04})^2$ (see table 1 for a summary of the results).

In conclusion, $N_i^M(x, y) > 0$ and in (2+1) dimensions $N_i(x, y, t) > 0$ exist. Further, for the N_i^M , the a > 0 parameter does not enter the assumption (12a, b) and the algebraic determination is valid for a = 1. These Maxwellians exist whether the microreversibility is violated or not. In table 1, we give the values for $n_{11} = n_{03} = 1$, $n_{02} = 2$, $n_{01} = 3$.

Table 1. Parameters for N_i^M , N_i equation (5).

General results: free parameters n_{0i} , $i = 1, 2, 3; n_{11}$

 $N_{i}^{M}: n_{04} = n_{01}n_{02}(an_{03})^{-1} \qquad n_{21} = n_{02} - n_{11} - n_{01}$ $2z^{\pm} = n_{04} - n_{03} \pm [(n_{04} - n_{03})^{2} - 4n_{11}n_{21}/a]^{1/2}$ $n_{ij}^{+} = n_{0i} \pm n_{0j} \qquad \tau_{j} = an_{43}^{-} + n_{21}^{-}n_{j1}/n_{j3} \qquad \gamma_{j} = -\tau_{j}n_{j1}/n_{j3} \qquad j = 1, 2$ $N_{i}: a \neq 1 \qquad \rho = an_{43}^{+} + n_{21}^{+} \qquad p = \rho/(a - 1)$ Example: $n_{03} = n_{11} = 1 \qquad n_{02} = 2 \qquad n_{01} = 3$ $N_{i}^{M}: n_{04} = 6/a \qquad n_{21} = -2 \qquad 2z^{\pm} = -1 + 6/a \pm [(1 - 6/a)^{2} + 8/a]^{1/2}$ $\gamma_{1} = -1 + z^{\pm}(a - 6)$ $\gamma_{2} = z^{\pm}(6 - a)/2 - 1 \qquad \tau_{1} = 6 - a + 1/z^{\pm} \qquad \tau_{2} = 6 - a - 2/z^{\mp}$ $N_{i}: a \neq 1 \qquad \rho = 11 + a \qquad p = (11 + a)/(a - 1)$

While the total masses M^{M} and M are constants for both the non-uniform Maxwellian and the exact solution, in contrast the momentum J^{M} and J are non-uniform in the space:

$$M = M^{M} = \sum n_{0i} \qquad J_{(x)} = J^{M}_{(x)} = n_{01} - n_{02} + 2\sum n_{j1} D_{j}^{-1}$$

$$J_{(y)} = J^{M}_{(y)} = n_{03} - n_{04} + \sum n_{j3} D_{j}^{-1}.$$
 (13)

Linearising around the non-uniform Maxwellians (5)-(12a, b) and assuming small t-dependent perturbations, an exact linearised solution exists. We define $N_i^L = N_i^M + \delta N_i$, $\delta N_i = \delta_i \exp(\mu t)$, substitute into (1) and find at the linear approximation level: $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = -\delta_3 = -\delta_4$ while $\mu = -[a(n_{03} + n_{04}) + n_{01} + n_{02}]$ is a negative eigenvalue.

Finally we notice the existence of another class of non-uniform Maxwellians which are periodic in x but not in y. Starting with

$$N_{i}^{M} = n_{0i} + 2 \operatorname{Re}(n_{iR} + in_{i1})D^{-1} \qquad N_{i}^{M} + N_{i+1}^{M} = n_{0i} + n_{0i+1} \qquad i = 1, 3$$

$$D = 1 + d \exp[(\tau_{R} + i\tau_{1})y + i\gamma_{1}x] \qquad (14)$$

(subscripts R and I for real and imaginary parts) and substituting into (1) we find

$$n_1 \gamma = -n_3 \tau = -a n_3^2 + n_1^2 = -a n_3 n_{43}^- + n_1 n_{21}^- \qquad a |n_3|^2 = |n_1|^2$$

$$a n_{03} n_{04} = n_{01} n_{02}.$$
 (15)

For the resolution we define $n_1/n_3 = \sqrt{a} \exp(iz)$ and find

$$\cos z = n_{21}^{-} (\sqrt{a} n_{43}^{-})^{-1} \qquad \tau_{\rm R} = [(n_{43}^{-})^2 a - (n_{21}^{-})^2] / n_{43}^{-}.$$
(16a)

There are three arbitrary parameters, chosen to be $n_{0i} > 0$, i = 1, 2, 3; then $n_{04} > 0$ is given by (15), we require $|\cos z| < 1$ in (16a) and trivial calculations lead to the solution:

$$N_{1}^{M} = n_{01} + n_{21}^{-1} \operatorname{Re}(1 + \operatorname{i} tg z) D^{-1} \qquad N_{3}^{M} = n_{03} + n_{43}^{-1} \operatorname{Re} D^{-1}$$

$$D = 1 + d \exp \tau_{\mathrm{R}} \qquad [y(1 - \operatorname{i} \cot z) + \operatorname{i} x(\sin z)^{-1} a^{-1/2}]. \qquad (16b)$$

In order to avoid poles for the N_i (or zeros for D), we must limit the solutions to half-planes, i.e. x and semi-axis y > 0 or <0 such that either $|d| \exp \tau_R y > 1$ (for $\tau_R y \ge 0$) or <1 ($\tau_R y \le 0$). Choosing |d| sufficiently large in the first case and sufficiently small

in the second, one can show that the positivity of the N_i is satisfied in these half-planes. These positivity results come from the fact that $\lim N_i = n_{0i}$ when $|d| \rightarrow \infty$ while when $|d| \rightarrow 0$, $\lim N_i = n_{0i+1}$, i = 1, 3, and $\lim N_i = n_{0i-1}$, i = 2, 4. For instance, $N_i > n_{0i} - |n_{43}|/(|d|-1)$, j = 3, 4 in the first case while in the second case $N_3 > n_{03}$ if $n_{43} > 0$ and $N_3 > n_{03} - (n_{03} - n_{04})/(1 - |d|)$ if $n_{43} < 0$.

A first class of positive exact (2+1)-dimensional solutions (here relaxing towards non-uniform Maxwellians) has been constructed for the first time. It seems worth comparing these Maxwellians (see also [2]) with the equivalent ones in one spatial dimension [7]. For the planar models with microreversibility satisfied, they do not exist when the number of velocities is less than ten; the result implying that we need sufficient degrees of freedom for their existence. Here, adding one spatial coordinate (another way to open new degrees of freedom) they still exist for the square model. For the macroscopic total mass and momentum associated with their time-dependent solutions, they were constants in 1+1 dimensions while here the momentum is nonuniform in space. I hope to be able to tackle the positivity difficulty so that other classes could be obtained.

References

- [1] Broadwell J E 1964 Phys. Fluids 7 1243
- [2] Harris S 1966 Phys. Fluids 9 1328
 Gatignol R 1975 Lectures in Physics vol 36 (Berlin: Springer) Hardy J and Pomeau Y 1972 J. Math. Phys. 13 1042
 Hardy J, Pomeau Y and De Pazzis O 1973 J. Math. Phys. 14 1746; 1976 Phys. Rev. A 13 1949
 Cabannes H 1978 J. Mécan. 17 1; 1980 Lectures Notes at Berkeley
 Illner R 1979 Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 1 187
 McKean 1975 Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28 435
 Ruijgrok T and Wu T T 1984 Physica 113A 401
 Platkowski T 1984 Mech. Res. Comm. 11 201
- [3] Cornille H 1987 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 20 1973; 1987 J. Math. Phys. 28 1567; 1987 J. Stat. Phys. 48 789
- [4] Bobylev A 1983 Math. Congr. Warsaw
- [5] Wick J 1984 Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 6 515
- [6] Cabannes H and Tiem D M 1987 C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 304 29; 1987 J. Stat. Phys. to be published
- [7] Cornille H 1987 C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 304 1091; 1987 Preprint Saclay Pht/87-080
- [8] Tartar L 1975 Séminaire Goulaouic-Schwartz no 1